

JUDGE McMAHON

GORLICK, KRAVITZ & LISTHAUS, P.C.
Michael J. Vollbrecht, Esq. (MV 1118)
17 State Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 269-2500
MVollbrecht@gklaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

07 CIV 3931

-----X
MASON TENDERS DISTRICT COUNCIL
WELFARE FUND, PENSION FUND, ANNUITY
FUND, and TRAINING PROGRAM FUND, and
JOHN J. VIRGA, in his fiduciary capacity as Director,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

CHAMPION CONSTRUCTION CORP.,

Defendant.
-----X

07 Civ.

RECEIVED
MAY 21 2007
COMPLAINT U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y.
CASHIERS

Plaintiffs MASON TENDERS DISTRICT COUNCIL WELFARE FUND, PENSION FUND, ANNUITY FUND, and TRAINING PROGRAM FUND, and JOHN J. VIRGA, in his fiduciary capacity as Director (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "FUNDS"), by and through their attorneys, GORLICK, KRAVITZ & LISTHAUS, P.C., as and for their Complaint, respectfully allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION AND JURISDICTION

1. This is a civil action brought, *inter alia*, pursuant to sections 502(a)(3) and 515 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, as amended (29 U.S.C. §§ 1132(a)(3), 1145) (hereinafter referred to as "ERISA"), and section 301 of the Labor-Management Relations Act of 1947 (29 U.S.C. § 185) (hereinafter referred to as the "Taft-Hartley Act"), by an employee welfare benefit fund, an employee pension benefit fund, an employee annuity benefit fund and an employee

training program fund, and by the Director of the FUNDS; for injunctive and other equitable relief under ERISA and for breach of contract to secure performance by an employer of specific statutory and contractual obligations to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to the FUNDS and to remit dues checkoffs and New York Laborers' Political Action Committee and Mason Tenders District Council Political Action Committee (collectively referred to herein as "PAC") contributions deducted from the wages paid employees who authorize said deductions in writing to the Mason Tenders District Council of Greater New York and Long Island (hereinafter referred to as the "UNION"). This Complaint alleges that by failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to the FUNDS and remit dues checkoffs and PAC contributions to the UNION when due, defendants violated their collective bargaining agreements, and/or the respective trust agreements of the FUNDS, and/or ERISA.

2. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under the following statutes:

- i. Section 502(e)(1) and (f) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1) and (f));
- ii. Section 301 of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. § 185);
- iii. 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and
- iv. 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (civil actions arising under Act of Congress regulating commerce).

3. Venue properly lies in this district under section 502(e)(2) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2)). Service of process may be made on defendants in any other district in which they may be found, pursuant to section 502(e)(2) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2)).

PARTIES

4. Plaintiffs Mason Tenders District Council Welfare Fund, Pension Fund, Annuity Fund and Training Program Fund are jointly-administered, multi-employer, labor-management trust funds established and maintained pursuant to various collective bargaining agreements in accordance with sections 302(c)(5) and (c)(6) of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. § 186(c)(5), (c)(6)). The FUNDS are employee benefit plans within the meaning of sections 3(1), 3(2), 3(3) and 502(d)(1) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(1), (2), (3), and 1132(d)(1)), and multi-employer plans within the meaning of sections 3(37) and 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(37) and 1145). The FUNDS are

authorized to maintain suit as independent legal entities under section 502(d) (1) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132(d)(1)). The purpose of the FUNDS is to provide various fringe benefits to eligible employees on whose behalf employers contribute to the FUNDS pursuant to collective bargaining agreements between employers in the building and construction industry and the UNION. The FUNDS maintain their offices and are administered at 520 Eighth Avenue, Suite 600, New York, New York 10018, in the City, County and State of New York.

5. Plaintiff Mason Tenders District Council Welfare Fund (hereinafter referred to as "PLAINTIFF"), one of the above-mentioned labor trust funds, is the duly authorized collecting agent for plaintiffs FUNDS. PLAINTIFF also collects the required monetary contributions due to the New York State Laborers-Employers Cooperation and Education Trust Fund ("NYS-LECET"), the New York Laborers' Health and Safety Trust Fund ("NYLHST"), and the Building Contractors Association Industry Advancement Program ("IAP"), as their authorized agent, and remits said contributions to the NYS-LECET, NYLHST, and IAP. In addition, PLAINTIFF is duly authorized to collect the dues checkoffs and PAC contributions deducted from the wages paid employees who authorize said deductions in writing to the UNION.

6. Plaintiff John J. Virga is the Director of the FUNDS and is a fiduciary of the FUNDS within the meaning of sections 3(21) and 502 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(21) and 1132). He brings this action in his fiduciary capacity as Director of the FUNDS.

7. Upon information and belief, at all times material hereto, defendant CHAMPION CONSTRUCTION CORP. (hereinafter the "CORPORATION") was and is a for-profit domestic corporation company doing business in the City and State of New York as an employer within the meaning of sections 3(5) and 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1002(5) and 1145), and was and is an employer in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of section 301 of the Taft-Hartley Act (29 U.S.C. § 185). Upon information and belief, defendant CORPORATION was and is a member of non-party Association of Professional and Specialty Workers Local 279 (hereinafter "Local 279"); Local 279 is the exclusive bargaining agent for all of its members for the purposes of collective bargaining with the UNION. Local 279 executed a certain collective bargaining agreement with the Union (referred to herein as the "Local 279 Agreement") wherein, *inter alia*, defendant CORPORATION became obligated to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to the FUNDS according to the Local 279 Agreement, for all work

performed by defendant CORPORATION's employees within the trade and geographical jurisdictions of the UNION, and to permit the FUNDS and/or their designated representatives to conduct audits of the books and records of defendant CORPORATION. Upon information and belief, defendant CORPORATION also was and is a member of non-party Building Contractors Association (hereinafter "the BCA"); The BCA is the exclusive bargaining agent for all of its members for the purposes of collective bargaining with the UNION. The BCA executed a certain collective bargaining agreement with the Union (referred to herein as the "the BCA Agreement") wherein, *inter alia*, defendant CORPORATION became obligated to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to the FUNDS and remit dues checkoffs and PAC contributions deducted from the wages paid employees who authorize said deductions in writing to the UNION, according to the BCA Agreement.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT
BY DEFENDANT CORPORATION)

8. PLAINTIFF repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 7 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

9. As a result of work performed by individual employees of defendant CORPORATION, pursuant to the Local 279 Agreement and the BCA Agreement (the Local 279 Agreement and BCA Agreement sometimes collectively referred to as "the Agreements"), there became due and owing to PLAINTIFF from defendant CORPORATION the amount of \$265,049.17 as and for fringe benefit contributions for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006.

10. No part of the fringe benefit contributions contractually due PLAINTIFF, as the duly authorized collecting agent, has been paid by defendant CORPORATION, although all fringe benefit contributions have been duly demanded, and PLAINTIFF, as the duly authorized collecting agent, has been damaged in the amount of \$265,049.17.

11. The failure, refusal or neglect of defendant CORPORATION to make the required contributions to PLAINTIFF, as the duly authorized collecting agent, constitutes a violation of the Agreements between defendant CORPORATION and the UNION with respect to which PLAINTIFF, as the duly authorized collecting agent, is a third-party beneficiary.

12. Accordingly, defendant CORPORATION is liable to PLAINTIFF, as the duly authorized collecting agent, as and for fringe benefit contributions in the amount of \$265,049.17 for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006, plus the amount found due and owing from an audit of defendant CORPORATION's books and records for the period April 30, 2006 to present.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF ERISA OBLIGATIONS
BY DEFENDANT CORPORATION)

13. PLAINTIFF repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 12 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

14. Section 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1145) requires employers to pay fringe benefit contributions in accordance with the terms and conditions of collective bargaining agreements.

15. Upon information and belief, at all times material hereto, defendant CORPORATION failed to pay or timely pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to PLAINTIFF. Such failure to make payment or timely payment and/or submit reports constitutes a violation of section 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1145).

16. Section 502 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132) provides that, upon a finding of an employer violation of section 515 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1145), the Court shall award to a plaintiff fund the unpaid fringe benefit contributions, plus statutory damages and interest on the unpaid and/or untimely paid principal both computed at the rate provided for under the plaintiff fund's plan or, if none, as set forth in the United States Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. § 6621), together with reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements incurred in the action.

17. Accordingly, defendant CORPORATION is liable to PLAINTIFF, as the duly authorized collecting agent, for the payment and/or submission of the required monetary contributions and/or reports to PLAINTIFF and is liable for the additional amount of said statutory damages, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, interest on the unpaid and/or untimely paid principal, costs and disbursements incurred in this action, pursuant to section 502 of ERISA (29 U.S.C. § 1132).

AS AND FOR A THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(PLAINTIFFS FUNDS' DEMAND FOR AN ORDER DIRECTING
DEFENDANT CORPORATION TO PERMIT AN
AUDIT OF ITS BOOKS AND RECORDS)

18. Plaintiffs FUNDS repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

19. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreements, defendant CORPORATION is required, *inter alia*, to file certain employer contribution reports with plaintiffs FUNDS, and is further required to permit and cooperate with plaintiffs FUNDS and/or their designated representatives in the conduct of audits of defendant CORPORATION's books and records, including, but not limited to, all payroll sheets, daily time records, job location records, cash disbursement journals, State tax forms WRS-2, WT4A and 4B(NY), WR 30 (NJ), Federal tax forms W2, W3, 940, 941, 1096, 1099, 1120s/1120S and 1040 Schedule C, New York State Employment Reports, Insurance CORPORATION Reports and supporting checks, ledgers, vouchers, 1099 forms, evidence of unemployment insurance contributions, payroll tax deductions, disability insurance premiums, certification of workers compensation coverage, and any other items concerning payroll(s), as well as the same records of any affiliate, subsidiary, alter ego, joint venture or other related CORPORATION of the Employer doing bargaining unit work, for the purpose of ascertaining the amount of fringe benefit contributions due PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, from defendants and verifying the accuracy of the employer contribution reports, if any, filed by defendants.

20. Accordingly, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreements, plaintiffs FUNDS demand an order directing defendant CORPORATION to permit and cooperate with plaintiffs FUNDS and/or their designated representatives in the conduct of an audit of defendant CORPORATION's books and records for the period April 30, 2006 to present.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT
BY DEFENDANT CORPORATION)

21. PLAINTIFF repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 20 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

22. Pursuant to the BCA Agreement, defendant CORPORATION, *inter alia*, became obligated to deduct dues checkoffs from the wages paid to the employees of defendant CORPORATION performing work within the trade and geographical jurisdictions of the UNION who authorize such deduction in writing. The BCA Agreement provides in relevant part:

The Employer shall deduct one dollar (\$1.00) per hour, plus any additional sum per hour hereinafter specified by the union, as dues from the wages of all Mason Tenders who authorize such deduction in writing and then promptly pay over such sums to the Mason Tenders District Council no later than the tenth day of the month after said deduction ...

23. Upon information and belief, pursuant to the BCA Agreement and as a result of work performed by individual employees of defendant CORPORATION who authorized said deduction in writing, defendant CORPORATION deducted \$5,020.78 as and for dues checkoffs for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006.

24. No part of the dues checkoffs contractually due PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent for the UNION, has been remitted by defendant CORPORATION to PLAINTIFF, although all unremitted dues checkoffs have been duly demanded.

25. Accordingly, defendant CORPORATION is liable to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent for the UNION, as and for unremitted dues checkoffs in the amount of \$5,020.78 due for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006, plus the amount found due and owing from an audit of defendant CORPORATION's books and records for the period April 30, 2006 to present.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(UNION'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT
BY DEFENDANT CORPORATION)

26. PLAINTIFF repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

27. Pursuant to the BCA Agreement, defendant CORPORATION, *inter alia*, became obligated to remit contributions to the PAC deducted from the wages paid to the employees of defendant CORPORATION performing work within the trade and geographical jurisdictions of the UNION who authorize such deduction in writing. The BCA Agreement provides in relevant part:

The Employer agrees to deduct and transmit to the New York Laborers' Political Action Committee \$0.05 for each hour worked from the wages of those employees who have voluntarily authorized such contributions on the forms provided for that purpose by the Union. ...

28. Upon information and belief, pursuant to the BCA Agreement and as a result of work performed by individual employees of defendant CORPORATION who authorized said deduction in writing, defendant CORPORATION deducted \$401.90 as and for PAC contributions for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006.

29. No part of the contributions contractually due the PAC has been remitted by defendant CORPORATION to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent for the UNION, although all unremitted PAC contributions have been duly demanded.

30. Accordingly, defendant CORPORATION is liable to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent for the PAC, who brings this action on behalf of the PAC, as and for unremitted contributions in the amount of \$401.90 due for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006, plus the amount found due and owing from an audit of defendant CORPORATION's books and records for the period April 30, 2006 to present.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT
BY DEFENDANT CORPORATION)

31. PLAINTIFF repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

32. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the BCA Agreement, defendant CORPORATION is required, *inter alia*, to post and maintain a bond to guarantee payment of all fringe benefit contributions to PLAINTIFF and remittance of all dues checkoffs and PAC contributions to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, that become due and owing. The BCA Agreement provides in relevant part:

If after an audit of its books and records, the Employer is found to be substantially delinquent, as defined herein, in the payment of fringe benefit contributions to the Fringe Benefit Funds set forth in this Article of the Agreement, the Employer, for the life of this Agreement, shall post a bond in the following amount:

An Employer employing 1 to 10 employees \$5,000.00
An Employer employing 11 to 20 employees \$10,000.00
An Employer employing over 20 employees \$15,000.00

Substantially delinquent is defined as any deficiency in the payment of fringe benefit contributions to the Fringe Benefit Funds set forth in this Article of the Agreement in excess of 10% of fringe benefit contributions paid to the Fringe Benefit Funds set forth in this Article of the Agreement during the period that is the subject of the audit.

33. Upon information and belief, defendant CORPORATION employs over 20 employees and pursuant to the audit has been found substantially delinquent.

34. Defendant CORPORATION is obligated, pursuant to the BCA Agreement, to post and maintain a bond in the amount of \$15,000.00.

35. No bond in the amount of \$15,000.00 has been provided to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agents, by defendant CORPORATION, although such a bond is required pursuant to the BCA Agreement, and PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agents, have been damaged in the amount of \$15,000.00.

36. The failure, refusal or neglect of defendant CORPORATION to post and maintain the required bond constitutes a violation of the BCA Agreement between defendant CORPORATION and the UNION with respect to which PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, are third-party beneficiaries.

37. Accordingly, defendant CORPORATION is obligated to post and maintain a bond in the amount of \$15,000.00.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AGAINST
DEFENDANT CORPORATION)

38. PLAINTIFF repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

39. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Agreements, defendant CORPORATION is required to timely pay and/or submit fringe benefit contributions and/or reports to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, remit dues checkoffs and PAC contributions deducted from the wages paid employees who authorize said deductions in writing to the UNION, and permit and cooperate in the conduct of audits of defendant CORPORATION's books and records for so long as defendants remain obligated to do so pursuant to the Agreements.

40. Upon information and belief, defendant CORPORATION has failed to timely pay and/or submit fringe benefit contributions to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006, remit dues checkoffs and PAC contributions deducted from the wages paid employees who authorize said deductions in writing to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006, failed to permit and cooperate in the conduct of audits for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006, and are currently in breach of their obligations under the Agreements. Defendant CORPORATION's prior conduct demonstrates a significant likelihood that it will continue to breach the terms of the Agreements.

41. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to ensure that defendant CORPORATION will adhere to the terms of the Agreements.

42. PLAINTIFF will suffer immediate and irreparable injury unless defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants and employees are enjoined from failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to PLAINTIFF and permit and cooperate in the conduct of audits for so long as defendants remain obligated to do so pursuant to the Agreements. PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent will suffer immediate and irreparable injury unless defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants and employees are enjoined from failing, refusing or neglecting to remit dues checkoffs and PAC contributions deducted from the wages paid employees who authorize said deductions in writing to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, for so long as they remain obligated to do so pursuant to the Agreements.

43. Accordingly, PLAINTIFF request this Court to issue an injunction permanently enjoining defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise from failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and/or submit the required contributions and/or reports to PLAINTIFF and requiring them to permit and cooperate in the conduct of audits for the term of the Agreements. PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, requests this Court to issue an injunction permanently enjoining defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise from failing, refusing, or neglecting to remit dues checkoffs and PAC contributions deducted from the wages paid employees who authorize said deductions in writing to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, for the term of the Agreements.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PURSUANT
TO ERISA AGAINST DEFENDANT CORPORATION)

44. PLAINTIFF repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 43 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

45. Pursuant to the provisions of ERISA and the Agreements, defendant CORPORATION is required to timely pay and/or submit fringe benefit contributions and/or reports

to PLAINTIFF and permit and cooperate in the conduct of audits of defendant CORPORATION's books and records for so long as defendant CORPORATION remains obligated to do so pursuant to the Agreements.

46. Upon information and belief, defendant CORPORATION has failed to timely pay and/or submit fringe benefit contributions to PLAINTIFF for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006 and is currently in breach of its statutory obligations under ERISA. Defendant CORPORATION's prior conduct demonstrates a significant likelihood that it will continue to breach the aforementioned statutory provisions.

47. PLAINTIFF have no adequate remedy at law to ensure that defendant CORPORATION will continue to adhere to its statutory obligations.

48. PLAINTIFF will suffer immediate and irreparable injury unless defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants and employees are enjoined from failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports to PLAINTIFF for so long as defendant CORPORATION remains obligated to do so pursuant to ERISA.

49. Accordingly, PLAINTIFF request this Court to issue an injunction permanently enjoining defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise from violating ERISA by failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and/or submit the required contributions and/or reports to PLAINTIFF for the term of the Agreements.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF demands judgment:

- (a) against defendant CORPORATION for payment of all past due contributions in the amount of \$265,049.17 for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006;
- (b) against defendant CORPORATION for payment of all contributions which became due for the period April 30, 2006 to the present, and which become due during the pendency of this action;
- (c) against defendant CORPORATION for accrued prejudgment interest on all contributions in accordance with ERISA § 502(g)(2);

- (d) against defendant CORPORATION for statutory damages on all contributions now due, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements in accordance with ERISA § 502(g)(2);
- (e) for an Order requiring defendant CORPORATION to permit and cooperate in the conduct of an audit of the books and records of defendant CORPORATION for the period April 30, 2006 to the present, by PLAINTIFF;
- (f) against defendant CORPORATION for remittance of all deducted dues checkoffs in the amount of \$5,020.78 for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006 with interest;
- (g) against defendant CORPORATION for remittance of all deducted dues checkoffs which became owing for the period April 30, 2006 to the present and which become owing during the pendency of this action, with interest;
- (h) against defendant CORPORATION for remittance of all deducted PAC contributions in the amount of \$401.90 for the period May 1, 2002 through April 29, 2006 with interest;
- (i) against defendant CORPORATION for remittance of all deducted PAC contributions which became owing for the period April 30, 2006 to the present, and which become owing during the pendency of this action, with interest;
- (j) for an Order requiring defendant CORPORATION to post and maintain a bond in the amount of \$15,000.00 to guarantee payment of all contributions which become due to PLAINTIFF and remittance of all dues checkoffs and PAC contributions which become due to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent;
- (k) for an Order permanently enjoining defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise for so long as they remain obligated to contribute to PLAINTIFF, from failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports in accordance with the applicable Agreement, and an Order permanently enjoining defendants CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise from failing to remit deducted dues checkoffs and PAC contributions to PLAINTIFF, as duly authorized collecting agent, for so long as they are obligated to do so pursuant to the applicable Agreement;

(1) for an Order permanently enjoining defendant CORPORATION, its officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise for so long as it remains obligated to contribute to PLAINTIFF, from failing, refusing or neglecting to pay and/or submit the required monetary contributions and/or reports in accordance with ERISA and the applicable Agreement; and

(m) for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
May 14, 2007

GORLICK, KRAVITZ & LISTHAUS, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: 

Michael J. Vollbrecht, Esq.
MV 1118
17 State Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 269-2500